

Port of Juneau

155 S. Seward Street • Juneau, AK 99801 (907) 586-0292 Phone • (907) 586-0295 Fax

POSTED/EMAILED March 27, 2014

POSTING NOTICE

RFP No.: DH14-001 Contract Administration & Inspection Services Port of Juneau Cruise Ship Berths

TO: Respondents to RFP DH14-001

This notice is to give results of proposal evaluations for the above referenced project. Proposals were received from five (5) consultants: PND Engineers, Inc., HDR Alaska, NorthWind Architects, R & M Consultants, and URS Alaska, LLC.

CBJ Docks and Harbors appreciates your participation in the subject RFP. Thank you for your interest.

The apparent successful proposer is PND Engineers, Inc.

This notice begins the protest period per Purchasing Code 53.50.062. Protests will be executed in accordance with CBJ Ordinance 53.50.062 "Protests", and 53.50.080 "Administration of Protest." The CBJ Purchasing Code is available online at: http://www.juneau.org/law or from the CBJ Docks and Harbors at 907-586-0292.

Cary Cillette, Port Engineer CBJ Docks and Harbors

(907) 586-0398



PROPOSAL EVALUATION SUMMARY

Project: DH14-001 Contract Administration and Inspection Services for Port of Juneau Cruise Ship Berths

Date: March 27, 2014

			0)	selectio	Selection Committee Members	nittee N	1 ember	မွ			
	1	A		В		C		D	L.	Е	
FIRM	Score	Score Rank	Score		Rank Score Rank Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Rank Score	Rank	Rank Total
HDR Alaska, Inc.	185	2	141	2	186	2	173	1	174	2	6
North Wind Architects, LLC	67	5	59	5	140	5	92	5	171	3	23
PND Engineers, Inc.	190	1	171	1	199	1	163	2	178	1	6
R&M Consultants, Inc.	170	3	134	3	178	3	147	4	165	4	17
URS Alaska, LLC	145	4	124	4	168	4	154	3	147	5	20

Scoring Summary by Criteria

Proposer: PND Engineers Date:

·					
	Eval A	Eval B	Eval C	Eval D	Eval E
4.3.1. Proposed Method to Accomplish the Project	20	20	19	15	18
4.3.2. Capacity of Firm	20	15	20	20	18
4.3.3. Past Record of Performance					
a. Monitors and maintains project schedules.	10	10	10	8	8
b. Establishes overall project success through close coordination with parties.	10	10	10	8	8
c. Controls construction budgets, maintaining best interests of Owner.	10	5	10	8	9
d. Delivers high quality services within established budgets.	10	10	10	8	9
4.3.4. Firm's Experience with Similar Projects	10	20	20	18	18
4.3.5. Firm's Representation					
a. Schedule of availability of personnel	20	5	20	20	18
b. Scale of involvement is appropriate to the project	20	18	20	17	17
4.3.6. Proposer's Organization and Personnel Qualifications	20	20	20	15	19
4.3.7. Firm's Hourly Rates	10	8	10	0	8
4.3.8. Quality of Proposal					
a. Is proposal clear and concise?	10	10	10	8	9
b. Is proposal responsive to the needs of the projects?	10	10	10	8	9
Sub-Total	180	161	189	153	168
4.3.9. Juneau Proposer Points (Will be assigned by Contract Administrator)	10	10	10	10	10
Total Scores	190	171	199	163	178

Scoring Summary by Criteria

Proposer: HDR Alaska Date:

·					
	Eval A	Eval B	Eval C	Eval D	Eval E
4.3.1. Proposed Method to Accomplish the Project	20	15	20	20	18
4.3.2. Capacity of Firm	20	15	20	20	19
4.3.3. Past Record of Performance					
a. Monitors and maintains project schedules.	10	5	10	10	9
b. Establishes overall project success through close coordination with parties.	10	8	10	10	9
c. Controls construction budgets, maintaining best interests of Owner.	10	5	10	10	9
d. Delivers high quality services within established budgets.	10	8	10	10	9
4.3.4. Firm's Experience with Similar Projects	20	15	19	15	18
4.3.5. Firm's Representation					
a. Schedule of availability of personnel	20	15	20	20	19
b. Scale of involvement is appropriate to the project	15	12	20	20	19
4.3.6. Proposer's Organization and Personnel Qualifications	20	15	19	18	19
4.3.7. Firm's Hourly Rates	10	8	8	0	8
4.3.8. Quality of Proposal					
a. Is proposal clear and concise?	10	10	10	10	9
b. Is proposal responsive to the needs of the projects?	10	10	10	10	9
Sub-Total	185	141	186	173	174
4.3.9. Juneau Proposer Points (Will be assigned by Contract Administrator)	0	0	0	0	0
Total Scores	185	141	186	173	174

Scoring Summary by Criteria

Proposer: URS Alaska Date:

•					
	Eval A	Eval B	Eval C	Eval D	Eval E
4.3.1. Proposed Method to Accomplish the Project	15	15	17	17	15
4.3.2. Capacity of Firm	15	20	20	18	17
4.3.3. Past Record of Performance					
a. Monitors and maintains project schedules.	10	8	10	8	8
b. Establishes overall project success through close coordination with parties.	10	8	10	8	8
c. Controls construction budgets, maintaining best interests of Owner.	10	5	10	8	7
d. Delivers high quality services within established budgets.	10	5	10	8	7
4.3.4. Firm's Experience with Similar Projects	15	10	17	18	17
4.3.5. Firm's Representation					
a. Schedule of availability of personnel	15	10	18	20	13
b. Scale of involvement is appropriate to the project	10	10	17	20	15
4.3.6. Proposer's Organization and Personnel Qualifications	20	15	17	15	18
4.3.7. Firm's Hourly Rates	5	5	7	0	8
4.3.8. Quality of Proposal					
a. Is proposal clear and concise?	5	3	7	7	7
b. Is proposal responsive to the needs of the projects?	5	10	8	7	7
Sub-Total	145	124	168	154	147
4.3.9. Juneau Proposer Points (Will be assigned by Contract Administrator)	0	0	0	0	0
Total Scores	145	124	168	154	147

Scoring Summary by Criteria

Proposer: R&M Consultants Date:

·					
	Eval A	Eval B	Eval C	Eval D	Eval E
4.3.1. Proposed Method to Accomplish the Project	15	15	17	18	18
4.3.2. Capacity of Firm	20	20	20	18	19
4.3.3. Past Record of Performance					
a. Monitors and maintains project schedules.	10	5	10	10	8
b. Establishes overall project success through close coordination with parties.	10	5	10	10	8
c. Controls construction budgets, maintaining best interests of Owner.	10	5	10	10	7
d. Delivers high quality services within established budgets.	10	8	10	10	7
4.3.4. Firm's Experience with Similar Projects	15	10	17	10	17
4.3.5. Firm's Representation					
a. Schedule of availability of personnel	20	10	20	20	19
b. Scale of involvement is appropriate to the project	20	18	20	15	19
4.3.6. Proposer's Organization and Personnel Qualifications	20	15	19	10	18
4.3.7. Firm's Hourly Rates	5	7	9	0	7
4.3.8. Quality of Proposal					
a. Is proposal clear and concise?	10	8	7	8	9
b. Is proposal responsive to the needs of the projects?	5	8	9	8	9
Sub-Total	170	134	178	147	165
4.3.9. Juneau Proposer Points (Will be assigned by Contract Administrator)	0	0	0	0	0
Total Scores	170	134	178	147	165

Scoring Summary by Criteria

Proposer: North Wind Architects Date:

·	Date.				
	Eval A	Eval B	Eval C	Eval D	Eval E
4.3.1. Proposed Method to Accomplish the Project	5	5	15	10	16
4.3.2. Capacity of Firm	5	5	15	10	15
4.3.3. Past Record of Performance					
a. Monitors and maintains project schedules.	2	3	10	5	7
b. Establishes overall project success through close coordination with parties.	2	3	10	5	7
c. Controls construction budgets, maintaining best interests of Owner.	2	3	10	5	8
d. Delivers high quality services within established budgets.	2	3	10	5	8
4.3.4. Firm's Experience with Similar Projects	5	0	5	2	15
4.3.5. Firm's Representation					
a. Schedule of availability of personnel	20	2	15	15	18
b. Scale of involvement is appropriate to the project	5	5	10	10	15
4.3.6. Proposer's Organization and Personnel Qualifications	5	5	10	5	15
4.3.7. Firm's Hourly Rates	2	10	10	0	19
4.3.8. Quality of Proposal					
a. Is proposal clear and concise?	2	3	5	5	9
b. Is proposal responsive to the needs of the projects?	0	2	5	5	9
Sub-Total	57	49	130	82	161
4.3.9. Juneau Proposer Points (Will be assigned by Contract Administrator)	10	10	10	10	10
Total Scores	67	59	140	92	171