

Date: February 5, 2024

Subject: **POSTING NOTICE OF SUCCESSFUL PROPOSER for RFP # 24-243 Legal Services for Bartlett Regional Hospital**

To: Proposers

This memo is to give notice of the results of proposal evaluations for the subject project. Proposals were received from two (2) consultants, Birch, Horton, Bittner & Cherot and Hall, Render, Killian, Heath & Lyman.

The apparent successful proposer is Hall, Render, Killian, Heath & Lyman.

Following is a copy of the Proposal Evaluation Summary dated February 1, 2024.

This notice begins the protest period per Purchasing Code 53.50.062. Protests will be executed in accordance with CBJ Ordinance 53.50.062 "Protests", and 53.50.080 "Administration of Protest", available online at <u>CBJ Ordinances</u> or from the CBJ Purchasing Division.

Shelly Klawonn

Shelly Klawonn, Senior Buyer CBJ Purchasing Division Shelly.klawonn@juneau.gov

Cc: Robert Palmer, CBJ City Attorney Ian Worden, BRH CEO



Date: Thursday, February 01, 2024

To: Renee Loree, Purchasing Officer

From: Robert Palmer, City Attorney

Subject: RFP No.24-243 BRH Legal Services

The selection committee has completed its evaluation of the proposals submitted in response to the above Request for Proposals. The results are as follows:

Proposer	BHBC		HRKHL	
	Raw Score	Ranking	Raw Score	Ranking
Evaluator #1	530		840	
Evaluator #2	680		840	
Final Ranking (highest raw score is best)	1210		1680	

The evaluation committee met on Thursday, February 1, 2024. The committee recommends that contract negotiations begin with Hall, Render, Killian, Heath & Lyman the #1 ranked firm.

Evaluator 1		Proposer:	ВНВС	Date:	2/1/2024
PROPOSAL	EVALUATION FO	RM (weight x points	= subtotal) Max P	ts 1000	
	Experience & Qualifications	Management Plan	Fee Structure		
Weight	50	20	30	1	
Scoring:	4	6	7		
Subtotal:	200	120	210	1	
				Grand Total:	530
	res: Outstanding = sing 2, 5, or 9.	10, Good to Adequa	te = 6,7,8, Margina	Ily Acceptable = 3, 4, Ur	nacceptable = 1,0
Evaluator 2		Proposer:	внвс	Date:	2/1/2024
PROPOSAL	EVALUATION FO	RM (weight x points	= subtotal) Max P	ts 1000	
	Experience &				

	Experience & Qualifications	Management Plan	Fee Structure		
Weight	50	20	30		
Scoring:	6	7	8		
Subtotal:	300	140	240		
				Grand Total:	680
	ores: Outstanding = sing 2, 5, or 9.	10, Good to Adequat	e = 6,7,8, Margina	ally Acceptable = 3, 4,	Unacceptable = 1,0

Evaluator 1		Proposer:	HRKHL	Date:	2/1/2024
PROPOSAL	. EVALUATION FO	RM (weight x points	= subtotal) Max P	ts 1000	
	Experience & Qualifications	Management Plan	Fee Structure]	
Weight	50	20	30]	
Scoring:	10	8	6		
Subtotal:	500	160	180]	
-	,	•		Cusual Tatal	940
	-	10, Good to Adequat	:e = 6,7,8, Margina	Grand Total: ally Acceptable = 3, 4, U	840 nacceptable = 1,0
No Scores U	lsing 2, 5, or 9.	•		ally Acceptable = 3, 4, U	nacceptable = 1,0
No Scores U <mark>Evaluator 2</mark>	lsing 2, 5, or 9.		HRKHL	ally Acceptable = 3, 4, U Date:	
No Scores U <mark>Evaluator 2</mark>	EVALUATION FOI	Proposer:	HRKHL = subtotal) Max P	ally Acceptable = 3, 4, U Date:	nacceptable = 1,0
No Scores U <mark>Evaluator 2</mark>	EVALUATION FOI	Proposer: RM (weight x points	HRKHL = subtotal) Max P	ally Acceptable = 3, 4, U Date:	nacceptable = 1,0
No Scores U Evaluator 2 PROPOSAL	EVALUATION FOI Experience & Qualifications	Proposer: RM (weight x points Management Plan	HRKHL = subtotal) Max P Fee Structure	ally Acceptable = 3, 4, U Date:	nacceptable = 1,0
No Scores U Evaluator 2 PROPOSAL Weight	EVALUATION FOI Experience & Qualifications 50	Proposer: RM (weight x points Management Plan 20	HRKHL = subtotal) Max P Fee Structure 30	ally Acceptable = 3, 4, U Date:	nacceptable = 1,0

Possible Scores: Outstanding = 10, Good to Adequate = 6,7,8, Marginally Acceptable = 3, 4, Unacceptable = 1,0 No Scores Using 2, 5, or 9.