



Date: Wednesday, January 19, 2022
 To: Renee Loree, Purchasing Officer *RL*
 From: Denise Koch, Deputy Director Public Works *Denise Koch*
 Subject: RFP No. 22-219 Survey and Data Collection for Capital Transit Revisions

The selection committee has completed its evaluation of the proposals submitted in response to the above Request for Proposals. The results are as follows:

Proposer	Hays		HDR		Zilo	
	Raw Score	Ranking	Raw Score	Ranking	Raw Score	Ranking
Evaluator #1	755		880		715	
Evaluator #2	675		880		685	
Final Ranking (highest raw score is best)	1430	2	1760	1	1400	3

The evaluation committee met on Wednesday, January 19, 2022. The committee recommends that contract negotiations begin with HDR Engineering Inc. the #1 ranked firm.

Evaluator 1		Proposer: Hays		Date: 1/19/2022	
PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM (weight x points = subtotal) Max Pts 1000					
	Understanding and Methodology	Experience & Qualifications	References	Price Proposal (formula)	Juneau Proposer Preference
Weight	40	25	10	20	5
Scoring:	8	7	6	200	0
Subtotal:	320	175	60	200	0
				Grand Total:	755
Possible Scores: Outstanding = 10, Good to Adequate = 6,7,8, Marginally Acceptable = 3, 4, Unacceptable = 1,0 No Scores Using 2, 5, or 9.					

Evaluator 2		Proposer: Hays		Date: 1/19/2022	
PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM (weight x points = subtotal) Max Pts 1000					
	Understanding and Methodology	Experience & Qualifications	References	Price Proposal (formula)	Juneau Proposer Preference
Weight	40	25	10	20	5
Scoring:	6	7	6	200	0
Subtotal:	240	175	60	200	0
				Grand Total:	675
Possible Scores: Outstanding = 10, Good to Adequate = 6,7,8, Marginally Acceptable = 3, 4, Unacceptable = 1,0 No Scores Using 2, 5, or 9.					

Evaluator 1	Proposer: HDR	Date: 1/19/2022
PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM (weight x points = subtotal) Max Pts 1000		
	Understanding and Methodology	Experience & Qualifications
	References	Price Proposal (formula)
	Juneau Proposer Preference	
Weight	40	25
Scoring:	10	8
Subtotal:	400	200
		Grand Total: 880
Possible Scores: Outstanding = 10, Good to Adequate = 6,7,8, Marginally Acceptable = 3, 4, Unacceptable = 1,0 No Scores Using 2, 5, or 9.		

Evaluator 2	Proposer: HDR	Date: 1/19/2022
PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM (weight x points = subtotal) Max Pts 1000		
	Understanding and Methodology	Experience & Qualifications
	References	Price Proposal (formula)
	Juneau Proposer Preference	
Weight	40	25
Scoring:	10	8
Subtotal:	400	200
		Grand Total: 880
Possible Scores: Outstanding = 10, Good to Adequate = 6,7,8, Marginally Acceptable = 3, 4, Unacceptable = 1,0 No Scores Using 2, 5, or 9.		

Evaluator 1	Proposer: Zilo			Date: 1/19/2022	
PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM (weight x points = subtotal) Max Pts 1000					
	Understanding and Methodology	Experience & Qualifications	References	Price Proposal (formula)	Juneau Proposer Preference
Weight	40	25	10	20	5
Scoring:	6	7	10	200	0
Subtotal:	240	175	100	200	0
				Grand Total:	715
Possible Scores: Outstanding = 10, Good to Adequate = 6,7,8, Marginally Acceptable = 3, 4, Unacceptable = 1,0 No Scores Using 2, 5, or 9.					

Evaluator 2	Proposer: Zilo			Date: 1/19/2022	
PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM (weight x points = subtotal) Max Pts 1000					
	Understanding and Methodology	Experience & Qualifications	References	Price Proposal (formula)	Juneau Proposer Preference
Weight	40	25	10	20	5
Scoring:	6	7	7	200	0
Subtotal:	240	175	70	200	0
				Grand Total:	685
Possible Scores: Outstanding = 10, Good to Adequate = 6,7,8, Marginally Acceptable = 3, 4, Unacceptable = 1,0 No Scores Using 2, 5, or 9.					

	Price Offered	Lowest Price	Total Points Possible	Lowest Price x Total Points Possible	Total Points Awarded	RESULTS ROUNDED
Hays	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	200	2000000	200	200
HDR	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	200	2000000	200	200
Zilo	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	200	2000000	200	200

Points Awarded = $\frac{(\text{Lowest Price Proposal}) \times (\text{Maximum Points for Price})}{\text{Price of This Proposal}}$