

Agenda of the City and Borough of Juneau
Historic Resources Advisory Committee
Regular Meeting – September 2, 2020
Zoom Webinar Meeting

Members:

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Zane Jones (Chair) - P | <input type="checkbox"/> Don Harris (Vice Chair) - P | <input type="checkbox"/> Shauna McMahon - P |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vacant | <input type="checkbox"/> Gary Gillette - P | <input type="checkbox"/> Dorene Lorenz - P |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vacant | <input type="checkbox"/> Chuck Smythe - P | <input type="checkbox"/> Shannon Crossley - P |

Staff:

- Allison Eddins (CDD) Beth McKibben (CDD) Niko Sanguinetti (JDCM)

- I. Call to Order
- II. Approval of Agenda
- III. Approval of August 2020 Minutes - agenda change to August minutes. Gary mentioned to approve with one correction regarding interns. Chuck 2nd. No further discussion. Minutes approved.
- IV. Public Comment
- V. J.J. Stocker Building – façade rehabilitation project – contractor Eric Treesburg gave an overview of the project which includes replacing 10 front façade windows. The stucco will be repaired in damaged places. Gary – is the new window size the same as existing? Eric – don’t know if it’s down to a ¼” the same but they are basically the same size. Gary – Will the new trim reduce the size of the overall appearance of the windows? Eric – I don’t believe it will. Zane – the email also mentioned removing cables. Can you explain that?
Shannon – are the current windows wood and single pane from the 1930s era? Eric – Yes I believe so. The 2nd floor windows were replaced when the 3rd floor was added in 1935.
Gary – what color will the fiberglass frame be? Eric – dark brown like what is there now. The color scheme hasn’t been decided on but I think the owner is going with dark brown.
Shannon – asked for clarification about not putting in wood windows. Eric – in the climate wood may rot quickly.
Zane – what have we done in the past with these old single pane windows?
Gary – Use the standards and it’s the outward appearance that we are preserving. Chapter 5 (5.6) talks about maintaining size and proportion of window openings. Sounds like they are going to retain the original opening. Different materials can be used as long as the original appearance remains.
Eric – The molding is only a 1 ¼” wide and I am making new molding to match the original.
5.6 states that alternative materials can be used only if original materials are not available.

Shannon – Don't think anything will be lost if fiber glass windows are used. Even though are not wood, they are not cheap windows. And I appreciate that.

Shauna – HRAC has approved similar replacements with fiber glass. And with the first floor of this building.

Zane – I agree with Shannon. And if they use the darker brown color and make the molding match I think it will meet the standards.

Shannon – Checked Google image and noticed that two of the windows on the upper floors are vinyl.

Eric - It will be nice to have all ten windows match.

The owner has not decided for sure what the color scheme will be. Once it is chosen it will be brought back to HRAC.

Zane – We are hearing some positive comments. Do we have a motion to approve the windows as proposed.

Gary – motion to approve the window replace and work as presented. We appreciate the contractors work and attention to detail and ask that the color be brought back to the committee for a vote.

Shannon – 2nd.

Zane – Hearing no other comments the motion is approved.

~~VI. HRAC bylaws – cultural resources~~

~~VII. CLG grant discussion – lessons learned from Sitka~~

VIII. 2021 Priority Discussion

Gary – Would like to make application materials a priority. The old standards have specific requirements. We deserve to have the materials ahead of the meeting. Establishing a minimum standards of application materials. Zane seconded that. We should use HRAC's time wisely. Basic elevation and notes on what is being changed. The contractors should be responsible for gathering this information. The next action item in the plan is "What to expect". This is a good thing to have. Zane – the building review subcommittee should sit down and draft that up for the group. Shannon, Gary Beth – CBJ currently has limited Zoom capacity. We will check back with CDD. HRAC could tag on this discussion to a regular meeting for now.

Gary – Staff could pull together what the application requirements were in the past. We had an application for historic building work.

Shannon – Volunteered to create a draft for HRAC to review in October.

Shannon – Does HRAC review DOE.

Gary – Our duties say we review for nomination. DOE is part of that nomination. It also says we review buildings that are in the preservation plan. Can we amend the plan to include a list of historically identified buildings? It may just be a matter of referring to the webpage where we list the buildings. Typically we do review these nominations. Larger projects do involve SHPO and SHPO would ask HRAC to review.

Shannon – I'm working on determination for houses on Seward. It's a Section 106 review. Tlingit and Haida is doing the work.

Gary – It should go through SHPO and then to HRAC.

Chuck – The owner has to send it to SHPO as part of the application process. I don't know of anytime a federal agencies completed a determination process completely or without hiccups.

IX. Committee Comments

X. Motion to

Next Meeting – October 7, 2020