

Agenda of the City and Borough of Juneau  
**Historic Resources Advisory Committee**  
Regular Meeting – October 7, 2020  
Zoom Webinar Meeting

Members:

- |                                                |                                                     |                                              |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Zane Jones (Chair) -P | <input type="checkbox"/> Don Harris (Vice Chair) -P | <input type="checkbox"/> Shauna McMahon -P   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vacant                | <input type="checkbox"/> Gary Gillette -P           | <input type="checkbox"/> Dorene Lorenz -P    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vacant                | <input type="checkbox"/> Chuck Smythe -P            | <input type="checkbox"/> Shannon Crossley -P |

Staff:

- Allison Eddins (CDD)     Beth McKibben (CDD)     Niko Sanguinetti (JDCM)

- I. Call to Order
- II. Approval of Agenda
- III. Approval of September Minutes
- IV. Public Comment
- V. Draft Historic District Design Review Application – staff reviewed the draft application with the committee. Don – will a noncontributing building have to fill out the application? Answer – Yes. Zane and Gary noted that the Design Standards and Guidelines have regulations for noncontributing structures in the HD and work on these properties need HRAC approval prior to receiving a building permit. Beth – Staff went through code. Gary – under Type "A" another good example is painting. Can dramatically alter the character of the building. That should be added.
- VI. Section 106 Review – New Wireless Tower at 3500 N. Douglas (JN3072/Kowee Creek) – Chuck's comments – no archeological or historic sites. Don't know why the creek is named Kowee. If there is some historic connection to Chief Kowee. Visual impact will be an issue. View shed is a community issue that we should consider.  
Gary – I agree with Chuck. Does not appear to be historical resources affected. Also agree with comment regarding view shed. These towers often intrude on view sheds that are important to the community. The Planning Commission have the authority to make changes to projects based on view shed protection from public rights of way.  
Zane – I will echo those comments. The ground has been disturbed already. Not HRAC's purview to review view sheds. We can send out draft response letter.  
Shauna – Since there has been two bridges in that general location plus the oil terminal, the land has been pretty disturbed already.
- VII. Section 106 Review – Kensington Mine Plan of Operations
  - a. The Kensington Mine is within CBJ boundaries but the mine is operating on USFS land. CBJ is acting as a concurring party. This means that our participation

in this process is not required. We cannot require changes be made to the plan. We can recommend changes.

- Chuck – will this lead to renewed effort to expand the road? What will the cumulative impact be? It does go through Sacred Mountain area of the Auk people. The PA talks about tribes. But the Auk Kwáan are not a federally recognized tribe but have an interest in the area. All interested parties should be afforded the consultation process. The language in the PA should be changed to reflect the federal rules that allow all interested parties to participate.
- Shauna – If we support the concurrence it can help Kensington efforts to obtain federal grants. This is an opportunity to get historic resources that we don't see listed in the review noticed.
- Gary – 2004 USFS did an extensive review of the historic mining sites. Beginning on page 9 of the PA document, there are still steps that the project has to take. Study to look at impacts, etc. I think it's important to comment back. It's good to let SHPO know that we are interested. In the past we have not always been consulted. In letter we should say we generally concur and that would appreciate copies of reports and documents related to the historic resource study. These documents can be given to the museum to further education material about the history of mines in Juneau. It is valuable information to have in Juneau. The sites listed on page 6 #2.
- Dorene – Thinks that Kensington would be happy to provide their historical information. It will be well received. Will help with community relationship. There are a number of mining structures still in existence at some of the sites listed on page 6. The original architectural documents are available. Donating some of the material would be a nice gesture.
- Zane – These structures should be given historic consideration.

VIII. Committee Comments

**Next Meeting – November 4, 2020**