

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
<b>Chapter 1</b>				
1	Betsy Brenneman	Editorial/grammatical comments		
2		Suggested revisions to overall vision statement	Vision statement was voted on then revised and voted on again at the 6/18/19 meeting	Table and will discuss at future meeting
3		Will we have guiding principles?	Guiding principles were discussed at both June 2019 meetings. There was confusion between the overall vision statement, the nine focus area vision statements from the Visioning Report and guiding principles.	Do not incorporate guiding principles into plan
4		Should we discuss “fuzzy” lines for sub areas?	Fuzzy lines were conceptually accepted at 6/27/19 meeting	Review and add text for how to apply “fuzzy lines” “on purpose because and not zoning” and that future zoning should review existing land uses(character) –as decisions are being made
5		Emphasize “maintain quality of life for residents” in this chapter or elsewhere		Front and Center
	Karena Perry	Editorial/grammatical comments		
6		Totem Poles should be changed to House Posts		Yes
7	Patty Ware	Editorial/grammatical comments		
8		Replace “re” such as reinvest, redesign etc. with invest, design etc.		Yes
9		Rewrite first paragraph under Downtown Accomplishments to be more culturally sensitive – specifically the use of “evolve”		Yes
10	Do more synthesis – reflect important topic	Suggested amendment to Vision G – Carrying Capacity. Asks if we can revisit/revise these vision statements	The Committee may revise/revisit the nine focus area visions	Discuss revising focus area Vision G

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
		more cohesive to reader		Focus on residents –needs more about local residents
11		Suggested revising paragraph beginning with “The Blueprint Downtown Area Plan is adopted as an addendum...”		Yes
12	Iris Matthews	Editorial/grammatical comments		
13		Do we need a land acknowledgement? Maybe not here but somewhere (cover page, into letter, map)	Staff reached out to Fran Houston, L’eenide Clan and spokesperson for Áak’w Kwaan regarding this.	
14		Lead with BP is a community vision of how downtown should continue to.....incorporating past plans		yes
15		Suggests alternative language to vision statement	Vision statement was voted on then revised and voted on again at the 6/18/19 meeting	Discuss revisiting vision statement
16		Suggests merging vision statement section and “renewed vision” section into one vision section		
17		Recommends planning area map on first page or inside cover		
18		Suggests chapters be reorganized with vision, key actions/strategies and then discussion/details	Staff asked for feedback about where in the chapters the nine focus area visions should be located. Feedback consistently supports the visions at the beginning of the chapters	
19	Ricardo Worl	Better clarify topics from visioning that steering committee determined no action or little (good example is limiting number of cruise ships/passengers)		
20		Highlight recommendations carried forward from past plan	This will be done in the action/recommendation table	
<b>Chapter 3</b>				

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
21	Karena Perry	Editorial/grammatical comments		
22		Add AMS leaving downtown to timeline		yes
23		Totem Poles should be changed to House Posts		yes
24		Front and Seward corner is now formally named Heritage Corner		Heritage Square
25	Betsy Brenneman	Editorial/grammatical comments		
26		Add "creative inspiration" to bullet list of values		yes
27		Add when hazard maps are expected to be available to public	this may date the plan, or cause issues if the maps are delayed	Will review to make less vague – more holistically how it affects development (?)
28		Likes boxes that pull out relevant (important?) recommendations from other plans	We started with this format, but for some chapters it becomes challenging because there are so many. As the plan evolved the important recommendations from past plans will be included in the actions/recommendations table	Will review so each chapter is consistent
29		Misses reference to comp plan and historic and cultural resources plans		review
30		Please add a number of "prominent" buildings to list		yes
31		Misses richer description of the various districts	These descriptions have moved to the Land Use chapter	
32		Crossley document done?	yes	Make clear in text
33		Upstairs Downtown done?	yes	Make clear in text
35		Would like stronger links of historic resources to other chapters such as parking, biz vitality	Would like some clarification on what exactly is being recommended here	Preserving historic resources has an impact on parking and biz vitality (trade offs) need to weigh pros/cons of benefits
36	Patty Ware	Editorial/grammatical comments		
37		Add street boundaries or other descriptor to the Downtown Historic District		Revisit after draft plan with maps is reviewed to see still needed

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
38		Suggests reordering the bullet list of values with establishing market image as last		yes
39		Add when hazard maps are to be completed or available		yes
40		Reorganize flood chapter so that it leads w/flood zones downtown vs Juneau wide		yes
41	Ricardo Worl	Not thrilled with the write up on the Tlingit Village and has asked someone to review. Maybe missing some significant facts. Has provided alternative write up from SHI	Will share draft with Committee	Use new and add Alaska after Southeast
42		Add bullet to evolution - Tlingit people establish village at X'unáxi (Indian Point) 700-900 years ago; their territory extends from Berners Bay southward to beyond Thane, and includes Douglas Island and the northern portion of Admiralty Island		yes
43	Iris Matthews	Suggests chapters be reorganized with vision, key actions/strategies and then discussion/details	Staff asked for feedback about where in the chapters the nine focus area visions should be located. Feedback consistently supports the visions at the beginning of the chapters	
44		Is pursuing state grant funding a recommendation	Yes –included in action table	
45		Is the statement of projects such Franklin Street medallions a recommendation for creating cultural representation?	It could be	
46		Is there a recommended action for Natural Resources?	no	
47		Is the need for a detailed avalanche/landslide hazard analysis a recommendation?	Yes there is one in the action table	
48		Suggestions reorganizing –taking flood and natural hazards and moving them to become context for redevelopment	Will review	

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
49		Suggests moving subarea section to the introduction to frame the geography and scope of plan	Will review	Will take a look – or Betsy suggested moving to chapter 1
<b>Chapter 4</b>				
50	Karena Perry	Editorial/grammatical comments		
51		Add economic info/facts about SHI arts campus		Economic value of all arts to be added to the chapter
52		Add reference to COVID 19 in discussion on canceled cruise season		yes
53	Ricardo Worl	None of the recommendations to improve downtown can be accomplished until homelessness is fully addressed and also not until the community has gained a better understanding of the difference between the 2 populations (mentally ill and addicts) and recognize that bad behavior of addicts demonizes the entire homeless population		This is a key priority and needs to be highlighted Incentivize solutions to homelessness?
54		References Bruce Denton’s presentation to the committee and an important statement or distinction he made about homeless population getting the brunt of community and business blame and anger over bad behavior downtown and how most(?) or majority (?) of clients in the Glory Hall services are not the trouble makers but rather Juneau has significant drug abuse/opioid population and they often prey on the vulnerable who homeless or have mental illnesses. Think of ways to help improve community perception of our homeless and mentally ill population so we can build more understanding of their situation and not be threatened by them and also focus our	Will work on language – however after speaking w/Housing Officer the challenge of writing about Juneau’s homeless (unsheltered) is that its dynamic. The people on the streets change, the drug of choice changes etc.	

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
		energy on law enforcement and treatment of drug abusers.		
55		“Downtown Employment” is talking about how being the state capital differentiates Juneau from other ports, but also mentions fishing fleet and Taku Smokeries, but other differences could be: the Tram, Mendenhall Glacier, UAS campus, Heritage District (big art community) and also big box stores?	Do we want to include those that are outside the planning area such as the glacier and UAS?	Steering Committee to discuss
56		“cruise lines spent \$26.4 million”, it might help to define what that was spent on	the data doesn’t get that specific	
57		Blueprint supports rock dump as industrial area until deep water port; add a statement that the committee devoted time to evaluating many alternatives for commercial traffic and routes and policy but could not find any other practical solution? we can avoid questions or criticism by showing Committee tried to figure something out but there is nothing we can practically afford for now?	Will review	
58	Iris Matthews	If implementing Main Street America is a core recommendation of the plan make it front and center and tied to the vision	Will review	
59		Safe & Welcoming Downtown is a critical point		
60	Laura Martinson	Suggests rewording “the cancelled cruise season presents a difficult lesson on the importance of the cruise industry to the local economy...” and add more direction to chapter in this regard		
61		Business Vitality section needs further discussion –more focus on what is working and what can be		Steering Committee to discuss

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
		improved - less negative towards seasonal business – more recommendations		
62		Needs more detail on resiliency with specific recommendations		Steering Committee to discuss
63	Betsy Brenneman	Editorial/grammatical comments		
64		Add historic character natural environment and top ranked arts community and placemaking all contribute to our economy		yes
65		Like the box with Capital City Vision Project vision for 2020		Does Committee want to add back
66		Suggests adding incentives for housing	Is in action table	
67		Suggests parking and its relationship to housing		yes
68		Likes box w/quote from Housing Action Plan		
69		Colors in charts are hard to differentiate	noted	
70		Can we be specific about the number of people displaced by the Gastineau apartment fire?	Will check to see if we can	
71		Notes that she often goes downtown for a single purpose		
72		Notes that we use “should” and “could” inconsistently throughout the plan. Suggests “should” for the actions tables	Will review	
73		Asks if there was anything in the vision report about work waterfront	Not specifically – year-round commercial center	
74		Are 2004 Waterfront Plan and Harbors Upland Management Plan the same?	No	
75		Top port? Most used port?	Highest ranked port	
76		Likes the reduced Main Street narrative		
77		Suggests adding year round heritage tourism as an example of an initiative		yes
78		Suggests renaming “capital facilities” to City, State and Federal Facilities to avoid confusion w/Capital City. Ok in text but confusing in title		yes
79		District heating works here		

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
80		Added bullets for Tribal Government Facilities		yes
81		Vision for Safe & Welcoming – no intro before Vision as in other chapters. Suggests vision at the beginning of the chapter and maybe paraphrase in the discussion	Staff asked for feedback about where in the chapters the nine focus area visions should be located. Feedback consistently supports the visions at the beginning of the chapters	
82		Can discussion on cold weather shelter be removed?	It should be updated and mention the continued need	
83		Suggests adding CBJ has only one compliance officer		
84		Suggests moving Capital City section right before or after Business Vitality section	Will review	
85		Can we get metrics on federal and tribal employees?	We've been trying but its challenging	
86		Add intro to Sustainability and Resiliency before vision statement	Vision statement will be moved to front of chapter...will work on adding some more intro language	
87		Will definitions for "district" "sub district" "subarea" at the beginning of plan be helpful	Will work on something	
88		Prefers vision statements at beginning of chapters and maybe paraphrase in the relevant section		yes
<b>Chapter 5</b>				
89	Karena Perry	Editorial/grammatical comments		
90	Ricardo Worl	Downtown, lists all of the business and retail attractions. Committee never discussed the volume of bars, liquor stores or establishments that serve alcohol and if there is any co-relation to behavior and police calls or if there should be any sort of policy consideration that might help improve downtown? This seems like precarious and politically charged issue, however not sure	Alcohol establishments are regulated the same as other retail or dining/drinking establishment in the zoning code. The state regulates the number of licenses per community. It would be challenging to treat them	



	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
		how to separate public inebriation from the source. Should Committee discuss this?	differently from other similar land uses.	
91		Aak'w village, there is a listing of buildings and facilities, add Gajaa Hit (will look for correct spelling) that has the two totem poles, right next to Fireweed senior housing. The building is transitional housing upstairs and SHI art workshop downstairs. Under the "History" category, perhaps use duplicate language from the paragraph previously sent?		yes
92	Laura Martinson	People use crowds as an excuse to not come downtown – take the opportunity to dispel common misconceptions with facts.....don't perpetuate misconceptions in the plan		Steering Committee to discuss
93	Betsy Brenneman	Editorial/grammatical comments		
94		Should we name the sub districts here	Will review	Reference map?
95		What is an overlay district		
96		Likes the description of ADOD in the 8/27 version better	Will review	
97		In downtown sub district (area) discussion capital transit seems to come from nowhere	Will review	
98		Suggests not eliminating all text for a map – some text is needed	Steering committee will have opportunity to review maps. We will review text and maps and consider. Good to revisit when Committee sees text w/graphics	
99		Waterfront sub district – add back the info about constructed on tailings etc.		
100		Discussion on compelling building height could be more clear		yes
101		Suggests removing reference to 2004 LRWP area in Merchants wharf discussion		

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
102		Placemaking discussion in Waterfront – be blunt about homelessness		Steering Committee to discuss
103		Add Little Rock Dump	Little Rock Dump is outside the Planning area	
104		Áak’w Kwaan Village/Glacier Avenue – with addition of map keep some text unless it can be well explained on (with) map	Steering committee will have opportunity to review maps. We will review text and maps and consider. Good to revisit when Committee sees text w/graphics	
105		Chicken Ridge/Starr Hill Flats Highlands – can we get metrics for each neighborhood	We tried and its challenging	
106		Missing Middle discussion seems out of place – maybe before Aak w Kwaan/Glacier Ave section?	Will review	
107		Add Placemaking section?		
<b>Chapter 6</b>				
108	Karena Perry	Editorial/grammatical comments		
109	Ricardo Worl	Placemaking elements are highlights of committee recommendations		yes
110	Iris Matthews	Placemaking core recommendation =should be highlighted		yes
111	Betsy Brenneman	Editorial/grammatical comments		
112		Suggests moving discussion on Willoughby District Plan to end of Arts/Culture/Entertainment section		
113		Updated NCAR from 2017 to 2018 –noting this means Juneau is no longer in the top ten.	Its impressive to be in the top ten	Committee to discuss
114		Do we want to add info about the application to NEA by 10 partners including CBJ?	It may not look great if the grant isn’t awarded. But we could include more general information about the partnerships that are working together	
115		Would like to mention Main Street as was in a previous draft		

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
116		Suggests “complete streets” be defined and notes it doesn’t seem strongly mentioned in Ch 8		
117		Notes text and info box seem to do the same thing and to pick one or the other. Also notes recommendation in text		
118		What is pedestrian clear zone		
119		What are removable bollards		
120		Why does Marine Park get its own section in this Ch? Chapter seems limited in what is discussed and this section could include other activities such as the pool, trails, schools etc.		
121		Recommends not referring reader “below” twice in one paragraph.		
122		Suggested sub headings for paragraphs in the tourism section and changed the level of heading on carrying capacity	Good catch –carrying capacity should be under tourism and not its own thing	
123		General comments on recommendations in text in some chapters but not all		
<b>Chapter 7</b>				
124	Karena Perry	Editorial/grammatical comments		
125	Betsy Brenneman	Editorial/grammatical comments		
126		Chapter seems “wimpier” than she remembers the discussion		
127		Related Plans box – will there be a link to the plans?	Will consider – could work well for electronic/online but not for paper plans. – if we’re referencing something that is only available in hard copy it should probably be scanned and posted online so it’s publicly available.	
128		Repetition of amenities –suggest describing character of park w/out amenities	To become a graphic	

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
129		Capital School Park – SC recommended covered seating, picnic tables, grills, robust playground, keep flat area, signage for Empty Chair.		
130		Cope Park – SC discussed grills, concessions with competitive leasing, small ice rink, water station for dogs and people, shelter with clan house reference, small ski jump, making part of field for dogs and revive part for sports, include area for community garden		
131		Marine Park – include discussion about difficulties for general public to use this park because of homelessness problem.		
132		Marine Park – restrict food carts to a certain area or not in park. Mention need for more public seating		
133		Mayor Bill Overstreet Park – Thought committee discussed NOT having a lot of commercialization here (ie kayak/paddleboard rentals). Emphasize connection to Aak w Kaan/Glacier Ave district. Signage for croquet area/rental. Connect to Harbors via Harbor walk		Committee to discuss and decide
134		Likes Summary and suggests all chapters have one		
135		Confused by lack of discussion of other relevant plans and only P&R master plan. If only calling out P&R Master plan skip the title other relevant plans		
136		Favors visions at front of chapter	noted <del>f</del>	
137		Committee discussed more playgrounds downtown near waterfront		
138		Likes idea of small ice rink maintained by volunteers		Steering Committee to discuss
<b>Chapter 8</b>				

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
139	Karena Perry	Editorial/grammatical comments		
140	Betsy Brenneman	Editorial/grammatical comments		
141		Text states actions are interspersed throughout plan but now we have tables in each chapter	noted	
142		Likes box for LOS		
143		Likes graphic for LOS		
144		Likes number from anecdote (unless they can't be confirmed)	Cant be confirmed	
145		How do you turn left on to Egan from Main?	Egan becomes Marine Way here	Change from Egan to Marine Way
146		Why is 2017 traffic count info cut?	The chapter was massive	Committee can discuss
147		Finds traffic data helpful and would like it put back		
148		Could/should comment as earlier (pick one) or take out and move to table	Will review	
149		Egan Drive – notes a lot cut – important to note DOT has no plans to amend speed limits or street layout between 10 <sup>th</sup> St and Norway point		yes
150		Notes traffic calming, bikes, auxiliary turn lanes cut. Seems important		Steering Committee to discuss
151		Seems important to note Area wide Trans plan identified access road to Harbors as a priority		yes
152		Did Committee identify need for increased on street parking? Should it say “retain” on street parking in Downtown sub district?	The minutes don't indicate this. There was support for implementing the 2010 parking management plan.	
153		What is the “Juneau Geographical Area”	All lots of record with that area formerly known as service are 1 as designated by a parcel code beginning with 1	
154		Parking – Lots was taken out of this section – design review regulations, standards for design of		Steering committee to discuss

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
		parking lots, parking recommendations in Willoughby Plan not implemented in zoning, etc.		
155		Explanation about sidewalks was removed. Found helpful and suggests adding it back		Steering committee to discuss
156		Questions “majority of sidewalks downtown have canopies”		Clarify in the Downtown subdistrict
157		Suggests adding back in the need for a better bike route from town to Thane and need for secure bike storage		yes
158		Recommendations from 2013 comp plan are left in –why? Inconsistent w/other chapters	Will review –chapters should be consistent	
159		Should “headway gaps” be defined	Headway is the corresponding time between two successive vehicles as they pass a given point on the highway. Time between the two successive vehicles in seconds is known as Gap	
160		Is “ride-dependent” same as “bus-dependent” or what does it mean?	Could be replaced with “transit-dependent”	
161		Street maintenance and snow clearance should move earlier in chapter –maybe before Safe Routes?	Can take a look	
162		Recommends adding back in prioritizing sidewalk clearing	Will check but think this is in the actions table	
163		Generally likes reorganization. Hopes that recommendations that were pulled (from past plans) show in the table		yes
164		Committee talked about subcommittee to address VITF but didn’t. Do we just included recommendations from VITF? How do we reinforce their recommendations?	We can be very explicit about endorsing recommendations if that’s what the committee wants	Steering committee to discuss

	Name	Comment Summarized	Staff Review	Recommendation
165		The desire for livability for residents vs demands of tourism should be more clear in this chapter. Maybe more in the intro and then in the text.		yes
166	Jill Ramiel	If focused attention was given to solving this one problem (need for more housing, empty buildings, traffic congestion) so many other issues would dissipate. Many other communities have figured out how -through public private partnership. Can Public/Private Partnership either by funding, providing incentives or help/skill in finding and applying for federal grants be BPDT priority?	The idea for Chapter 2, Implementation is to identify and list the top (10?) priorities for action	