

Mayor Sanford thanked the Docks and Harbors Board for finding a time in their busy schedules to meet with the Assembly.

Carl Uchytel provided a power point presentation. Some of the items he covered included:

- Docks and Harbors proposed changes to its by-laws and is combining the Planning and Operations Sub-Committees.
- Board positions are advertised and the terms of Budd Simpson, John Bush and Kevin Jardell expire June 30, 2014. Mr. Jardell indicated he would not be seeking reappointment.
- The new mission statement is "Develop and provide opportunities, services and facilities to support marine related commerce, industry, fisheries, recreation and visitors."
- A budget synopsis of FY14 and the proposed budgets for FY15/16 showed the Port and Harbors are financially solvent and operating a quarter of a million dollars in the black.
- A review of Docks and Harbors Capital Projects, including:

Cruise Ship Dock Improvements

- o CSTSA Phase I – completed May 1st, 2013
- o Taku Dock Improvements – completed February 15
- o CSTSA Phase II – completed May 2nd, 2014
- o Cruise Ship South Berth – completion date May 2016
- o Cruise Ship North Berth – completion date May 2017

Statter Harbor Improvements

- o Moorage Improvements – completed May 2013
- o Launch Ramp – completion date December 2015

Douglas Harbor – Awaiting Corp of Engineers Permit

Aurora Harbor

- o Phase I – completion date May 2015
- o Phase II – future

Cruise Ship Terminal Staging Area Phase 1 - completed May 2013 by Trucano Construction. A 2 million project funded with state head tax. Taku Dock Improvement (city owns, use agreement with Taku Smokeries) - increased dock space for fishing boats and aligned with the wharf to facilitate mooring. - completed... Cruise Ship Terminal Staging Area Phase II - getting more comments of praise than unhappiness, the design seems to be working well. He commended the Goldbelt Security for moving traffic and protecting pedestrians. Less of a bottleneck. Cruise Ship South Berth - contract has been

awarded for the south berth first, and the two docks will be done separately in individual years. Cruise Ship North Berth - check completion dates in his PP

Statter Harbor Master Plan, Phase 1 is the docks, 2, parking, 3 haul out yard, 4 passenger float.

Mayor Sanford asked if the current launch ramp would be kept. Yes, until Phase 3. He showed a picture of the new A& B floats.

Statter Harbor Launch Ramp - Phase 2 - somewhat contentious at Planning Commission - we are working on community outreach. The Construction will begin after salmon derby weekend Sept 2014 - completion Dec 2015. Many engineering aspects - he spoke about the technical nature of the fill. \$10 million, 1% sales tax, harbor fund, legislative grants and fish & game funds.

Statter Harbor C-Float Damage - four floats were crushed and he spoke about the repair work - Floats arrived May 12 - Trucano Construction -\$250,000 and we are seeking an insurance claim. Not an easy fix.

Mike Pusich Douglas Harbor Plan - working since 2007 for the Corps permit and we are in final stages of getting the permit. He spoke about disagreement between EPA and the Corps which went to dispute resolution to dredge and replace the old floats. \$6.9 million - Harbor fund, deferred maintenance and municipal Harbor grant. More funding will be needed due to conditions placed by Corps of Engineers. TBD

Aurora Harbor rebuild - Phase 1, \$10 million - bids open May 15, 2014, \$10 million - plan to start Oct 2014 - reopen May 31, 2015. Aggressive schedule.

The D&H did strategic planning - outlined their values and their vision -

Mr. Uchytel spoke about tideland leases. Original lease required to be reappraised every three years. This has not happened. We have had an appraisal. Fee simple value of \$3 million for that property. Lease allows the lessee to get own appraisal. Theirs is \$1.2 million. Lease discusses dispute resolution with a third party appraiser to choose between the two values.

Cultural Preservation - the Seadrome Dock area - Goldbelt. This also requires revaluation every three years. The value came in twice of the past value. I am expecting a protest and that is something else that may come to your attention.

Thane Ore House is also Docks and Harbors managed property and we are in the process of breaking the lease due to some complicated financial issues. CBJ Legal department is working on that. The building is included in the lease.

Mayor Sanford asked if most of the leases would be run through the CBJ law department or through private attorneys. Mr. U said that the Law Department knows everything that is going on but the issues have not elevated to an attorney to attorney discussion. Mayor Sanford asked if the CBJ Law Department was working well for the D&H. Yes, we could employ someone full time.

Mr. Busch said the Board has been doing strategic planning and have focused on the future. Many projects that have been in the planning stage for years are coming to

fruition. They are looking at what is next for the project list. We need to reevaluate the fee structures. We are working on the leases to work through the existing leases and issues associated with those. There is a great staff with the current port director, engineer, harbor master and their staff, and we look forward to a good year.

Mayor Sanford "No city financing" - yes, not to operations and maintenance, but there are projects - so that needs to be updated. Samson Tug and Barge are bringing in all their freight to AML and will not send it to the Channel Drive area - so there is more freight coming through this downtown area and we need to see what can be done to facilitate this, such as loading zones, loading hours, and there could be a real jam. He asked staff to look into this. Regarding the 1% for art for 16b, there is a committee assigned to it now, and I have been interested in seeing the 1% for art for a total picture - since there are 3-4 projects - some Docks and Harbors, some Engineering projects, and look at this as a total picture?

Ms. Crane said the seawalk was a 20 year project and we have an opportunity to look at the big picture, rather than random projects here and there. There have been a number of communities in which the art along the seawalk has become a destination. If we have good art along the seawalk, it distinguishes the community. As we think long term we can plan from the beginning what we want to see.

Mr. Peterson said that 1% would be \$530,000 for 16b, and could conceivably \$1.5 million along the seawalk. When you do have the funds on smaller pieces of art, it allows more artists to do work.

Ms. Crane said she was not thinking of one large piece, and that she did not have any preconceived notions, though some had spoke about history of Juneau as a theme. She just wants people to start discussing this.

Mr. Jones - we have a 1% for art committee for 16b, but have we ever appointed a standing committee for the seawalk? no - each project has been stand alone. Mr. Jones said the Assembly could expand the 16b committee to be a standing committee for the waterfront projects. Mayor Sanford said he asked to discuss this to get input from Docks and Harbors and Engineering as well. He agreed that expanding the current 16b committee could be a good way to go. Mr. Jones said the first meeting would be June 17 for the 16b art committee. Mr. Busch said it was incumbent on the Assembly to provide the direction to give artists a way to conceptualize the projects that would be able to be solicited for various sized projects. Mayor Sanford said the idea was to not be piecemeal.

Mr. Logan said he was the D&H representative for 16b - he agreed the idea of a unified theme was good and it would be good to know the range of funding that would be available. He understood that getting unification spread over 20 years might be difficult to achieve continuity.

Bob Janes said such a community was a good idea - reach out to valley residents to those who don't normally come downtown to get support and involved in being a part of the seawalk. The maritime festival was a successful way to draw those in the community that

are not usually downtown. Mayor Sanofrd asked D&H for a recommendation to expand the existing committee - and a recommendation from staff, and a few more arts people. There are currently 6 people on the committee - ten could work for this, he sought recommendations.

Mr. Uchytel said it was very difficult now to get a committee of 6 together as Mr. Gillette had found. Mr. U said the ordinance said the art had to be project specific, and that 16b was not a pretty project - it was a functional project and he hoped that some of the funds could be used to "soften" the project. Mayor Sanford said there would be a lot of room to work with. Mr. Gillette said he had no problem with an art master plan - that was a planning exercise, and our focus has been 16b and specific art. If we want to go that route, we need direction. Mayor Sanford said he was not ready to go into an art master plan - but he wants to look at how art can fit in the seawalk in its totality. We don't know all the issues until we have looked at them.

Mr. Jones - asked if there was a 1% for art committee for the dock extension that has happened. Ms. Kief3r said there were totemic designs incorporated into the glass of that most recent project.

Mr. Watt said the budget was about \$330,000 - we did not do the bid process - we already planned to do a glass shelter - so we did an embedded artwork in the project, but it wasn't a 1% for art per se following the usual committee. Mr. Watt - your question is if you want to expand the geographical area of the 16b project art - I would not do hard and fast boundaries. Until people see it, I don't know if people understand how industrial that project will look. If you want to expand it ...6:53 p.m.

Mr. Jones - we don't necessarily want to change where the funds go, but we are saying we don't want to see piecemeal random projects - there should be a unifying vision for art funds to be spent on - getting an overriding look without stopping little projects and ensuring that all the small projects don't get some weird art.

Ms. Crane said this conversation was just an example of the issue and Mr. Watt suggested he could bring something back to the Assembly and see what the reaction was.

Mr. Janes thanked Mr. Jones for his attendance at the Docks and Harbors Board meetings.

Bid

Public Comment: None. Assembly Action: MOTION, by Jones, to award the bid in the amount of 9623,827.00 for the base bid amount. Mr. Kiehl asked for the difference between the engineer's estimate and the award amount. Mr. Gillette said the estimate had a contingency of 5% and our projects have been running 1 - 2% so we feel comfortable taking the funds from contingency. We have the funds. We know the parameters of the project. Mr. Kiehl - not familiar with the contractor. Mr. Gillette - we have done a lot of research and verbal communication - they have done a lot of work in Alaska, for DOT -

main forte is building floats - have purchased some of their floats for the No Douglas launch ramp. recently did a project in Hoonah - worked with PND engineers. The subcontractor list are all local - Trucano - demo and pile driving, hook up 7:01 p.m. - ...building floats, managing the project, good credentials in management. Hearing no objections, so ordered.

Samantha and Michelle Elfers - status of wastewater biosolids. Packet = brief summary. 7:05 p.m. Still working with WM and working with consultant to narrow down options. Asked for questions. Mayor - how long is the contract for shipping south - five years - no minimum. Mr. Smith - is it likely the solution recommended in September will be a long term - yes that is our goal. We want a Juneau solution for a Juneau problem. We want control over an appropriate method of disposal. That is our contract to narrow down the options to provide us with a very long term solution. Mr. Smith - the key factors to align, cost, original construction cost, operation and maintenance, permitting, land availability, operational functionality, we don't want new approach, technical ability, energy considerations, public health and safety, disposal options and if there is a market for it. Mr. Jones - PWFC - contract out for CH2M Hill - have they started? Yes, Elfers - they have been gathering background data, assessing the values and issues in the community, land availability, so we expect in mid-July first draft of analysis of alternatives - will work through that with final report in September. Samantha - very skilled consultant to work in this area. We need stability long term. Mayor Sanford - this is just for biosolid solutions - when do we get answers for total package - recycling - solid waste - can we come up with this - this cost estimate \$16 - 20 million - any possibility to combine all those issues together. Elfers - solid waste and biosolids are two of our biggest challenges - there are technologies that can handle both of those waste streams - expensive and complicated. Right now the biosolid issue is in the forefront, if we can get a good handle on this, then we can compare the costs and our ability to handle the technology, then we can determine if we are willing to handle solid waste in the same system. Samantha - we do not control the solid waste stream - Mayor Sanford 0 yes - we know that but we need to know the options and the costs and we can't get toward a conversation about the possibilities without taking those all into them. Mr. Watt 7:14 p.m. - this will benchmark the costs of the biosolids, and this is a good first step regardless of where we go - Mayor Sanford = are we wasting \$16 - 20 million if we don't get to what we do with solid waste? Mr. Watt - 7:15 p.m. - it is a really broad topic - not just biosolids - we have to look at the plants and their capacity to address biosolids - it is very good information. Mayor Sanford - long term end result with waste streams of all kinds - we have always been playing with one part of it. I want to get there so whatever you have to do to get us to a point of truly taking care of our waste