C. Jonathan Benner (pro hac vice)

Kathleen E. Kraft (pro hac vice)

Thompson Coburn LLP

1909 K Street, N.W., Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20006-1167

Phone: 202-585-6900 Fax: 202-585-6969

Email: jbenner@thompsoncoburn.com

kkraft@thompsoncoburn.com

Herbert H. Ray, Jr. (Alaska Bar No. 8811201)

Keesal, Young & Logan

1029 West Third Avenue, Suite 650

Anchorage, AK 99501-1954

Phone: 907-279-9696 Fax: 907-279-4239

E-mail: bert.ray@kyl.com *Attorneys for Plaintiffs* 

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

CRUISE LINES	INTERNATIONAL
ASSOCIATION	ALASKA. et al

-		. •	CC
P	lain	tı:	tts.
			,

V.

THE CITY AND THE BOROUGH OF JUNEAU, ALASKA, et al.,

$\mathbf{r}$		1 .
	leten	dants

Case No. 1:16-cy-00008-HRH

### Joint Motion Requesting Stay of Discovery and Rule 26 Obligations and Stipulation Extending Time Limits for Briefing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss

Plaintiffs Cruise Lines International Association Alaska and Cruise Lines International Association (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Defendants the City and Borough of Juneau, Alaska and Rorie Watt, in his official capacity as City Manager, (collectively, "Defendants") for the reasons below, jointly submit this motion requesting a stay of discovery and Rule 26 obligations

during the pendency of Defendants' motion to dismiss. [ECF No. 18]. In addition, consistent with Local Rule 7.1(f)(3), the Parties' stipulate to an extended briefing schedule on Defendants' motion to dismiss as follows: (1) Plaintiffs shall file their opposition brief on or before July 8, 2016; and (2) Defendants shall file their reply brief, if any, on or before July 29, 2016.

By this action, Plaintiffs challenge, under the Constitution and the laws of the United States, the legality of entry fees imposed as a condition of entry into the City and Borough of Juneau ("CBJ") on passengers arriving in CBJ on cruise vessels engaged in the interstate and international commerce of the United States. Defendants have filed a facial challenge to this Court's subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1), arguing that the Tax Injunction Act bars the exercise of federal jurisdiction in this case. [ECF No. 18]. Pursuant to the Tax Injunction Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1341, "[t]he district courts shall not enjoin, suspend or restrain the assessment, levy or collection of any tax under State law where a plain, speedy and efficient remedy may be had in the courts of such State." Defendants argue that the allegations of the First Amended Complaint [ECF No. 16-1] place the entry fees at issue within the ambit of the Tax Injunction Act. Plaintiffs will oppose Defendants' motion.

Pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, C. Jonathan Benner and Kathleen E. Kraft, counsel for Plaintiffs, and Robert P. Blasco, counsel for Defendants, conferred by telephone on June 3, 2016, with additional telephonic conference and email exchange throughout the week of June 13, 2016. Also pursuant to Rule 26, absent court order to the contrary, the Parties' Rule 26 conference report is due on or before June 17, 2016. Plaintiffs issued their First Set of Continuing Requests for Production of Documents on June 13, 2016.

At this time, Defendants believe discovery should be stayed during the pendency of their facial challenge to the Court's subject matter jurisdiction. While Plaintiffs will oppose that facial

challenge, because the motion deals strictly with the allegations of the First Amended Complaint,

and in an effort to maintain an orderly, efficient and less costly resolution to this dispute, the

Parties have agreed, absent court order or further agreement of the Parties, to stay discovery until

such time as the Court rules on Defendants' pending motion. Similarly, the Parties agree that at

this time a joint scheduling report and initial disclosures are likely premature, given the

fundamental disagreement over whether this Court has jurisdiction to hear this dispute.

For these reasons, the Parties jointly request that the Court stay discovery, including any

discovery already served by either party, and Rule 26 obligations, including both initial

disclosures and the filing of a Rule 26 conference report, until such time as the Court rules on the

pending motion to dismiss. In addition, consistent with Local Rule 7.1(f)(3), the Parties'

stipulate to an extended briefing schedule on Defendants' motion to dismiss as follows: (1)

Plaintiffs shall file their opposition brief on or before July 8, 2016; and (2) Defendants shall file

their reply brief, if any, on or before July 29, 2016.

Defendants' counsel has authorized the undersigned to sign this joint motion on his

behalf and to so advise the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: June 17, 2016

By: /s/ C. Jonathan Benner

C. Jonathan Benner (pro hac vice)

Kathleen E. Kraft (pro hac vice)

Thompson Coburn LLP

Herbert H. Ray, Jr. (Alaska Bar No. 8811201)

Keesal, Young & Logan, LLC

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Cruise Line International Association Alaska and Cruise

Lines International Association

By: /s/ Robert P. Blasco

Robert P. Blasco, AK Bar # 7710098 Hoffman and Blasco, LLC Attorneys for the City and Borough of Juneau, Alaska, a municipal corporation, and Rorie Watt, in his official capacity as City Manager

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on June 17, 2016, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Joint Motion Requesting Stay of Discovery and Rule 26 Obligations and Stipulation Extending Time Limits for Briefing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss to be filed using the Court's Electronic Case Files System ("ECF"). The document is available for review and downloading via the ECF system, and will be served by operation of the ECF system upon all counsel of record.

/s/ C. Jonathan Benner

C. Jonathan Benner

C. Jonathan Benner (pro hac vice)

Kathleen E. Kraft (pro hac vice)

Thompson Coburn LLP

1909 K Street, N.W., Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20006-1167

Phone: 202-585-6900 Fax: 202-585-6969

Email: jbenner@thompsoncoburn.com

kkraft@thompsoncoburn.com

Herbert H. Ray, Jr. (Alaska Bar No. 8811201)

Keesal, Young & Logan

1029 West Third Avenue, Suite 650

Anchorage, AK 99501-1954

Phone: 907-279-9696 Fax: 907-279-4239

E-mail: bert.ray@kyl.com *Attorneys for Plaintiffs* 

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

CRUISE LINES INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION ALASKA, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 1:16-cy-00008-HRH

V.

THE CITY AND THE BOROUGH OF JUNEAU, ALASKA, et al.,

Defendants.

# (Proposed) Order Granting Joint Motion Requesting Stay of Discovery and Rule 26 Obligations and Stipulation Extending Time Limits for Briefing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss

Plaintiffs Cruise Lines International Association Alaska and Cruise Lines International Association and Defendants the City and Borough of Juneau, Alaska and Rorie Watt, in his official capacity as City Manager, by and through their respective counsel, filed a joint motion

requesting a stay of discovery and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 obligations until such time

as the Court rules on Defendants' motion to dismiss. [ECF No. 18]. Defendants' motion raises a

facial challenge to this Court's subject matter jurisdiction under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

12(b)(1), and as such, discovery is not necessary for its resolution. For this reason, and in the

interests of maintaining an orderly, efficient, and less costly resolution to this dispute, the Court

hereby **GRANTS** the joint motion. Both discovery, including any discovery already served by

either party, and the requirements of Rule 26, including the requirements to submit a joint

conference report and to make initial disclosures, are stayed until such time as the Court rules on

Defendants' pending motion to dismiss.

In addition, the parties filed a stipulation extending the time limits for briefing on

Defendants' motion to dismiss, pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(f)(3). The Court, finding that the

extension is appropriate under the circumstances and agreed upon by the Parties, hereby

**GRANTS** the requested extension as follows: (1) Plaintiffs shall file their opposition brief on or

before July 8, 2016; and (2) Defendants shall file their reply brief, if any, on or before July 29,

2016.

Dated:

H. Russel Holland

United States District Court Judge