

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

CRUISE LINES INTERNATIONAL)
ASSOCIATION ALASKA and CRUISE)
LINES INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.)
)
THE CITY AND BOROUGH OF JUNEAU,)
ALASKA, a municipal corporation, and)
RORIE WATT, in his official capacity as)
City Manager,)
Defendants.)
_____)

No. 1:16-cv-0008-HRH

ORDER

Motion for Stay of Pretrial Deadlines¹

By their joint motion, the parties request that the court suspend the calendar for the pretrial development of this case which was approved on September 26, 2017.² The parties appear to have aptly realized that plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment³ and defendants' motion for determination of the law of this case will disrupt the current case schedule.

In their joint motion, the parties request that if the court determines to deny defendants' request to stay briefing on the plaintiffs' summary judgment (which the court has

¹Docket No. 83.

²Docket No. 64.

³Docket No. 67.

done concurrent herewith), then the parties jointly request that the case schedule contained in the court's September 26, 2017, order be suspended until the court has ruled on both plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and defendants' motion for determination of the law of the case. The court approves that request. Case scheduling is suspended pending a further order of the court.

Finally, the parties jointly request that they be directed to confer for the purpose of proposing to the court an amended scheduling and planning order. It is the court's perception that any attempt to develop a full-blown schedule for completion of the development of this case at this time is a bit too ambitious. Rather, the parties will please confer and propose to the court a schedule for the concurrent briefing of plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment,⁴ plaintiffs' motion to take judicial notice,⁵ and defendants' motion to determine law of the case.⁶ If requested by a party, oral argument on the pending motions will be scheduled once the court has completed its review of the extensive filings which are anticipated to accompany the pending motions. If a decision on the pending motions does not resolve all issues in the case, the parties will then be called upon by the court to confer and propose a schedule for completion of the development of the case.

DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 30th day of November, 2017.

/s/ H. Russel Holland
United States District Judge

⁴Docket No. 67.

⁵Docket No. 79.

⁶Docket No. 81.